

# Gatwick Airport Northern Runway Project

Statement of Common Ground Between Gatwick Airport Limited and Natural England – Tracked Version

# Book 10

VERSION: 2.0 DATE: JUNE 2024 Application Document Ref: 10.1.15 PINS Reference Number: TR020005



## Table of Contents

1 Ir	Introduction		
2 C	urrent Position	5	
2.1.	Agricultural Land Use and Recreation	5	
2.2.	Air Quality	6	
2.3.	Capacity and Operations	7	
2.4.	Climate Change	8	
2.5.	Construction	9	
2.6.	Cumulative Effects and Interrelationships	10	
2.7.	Draft DCO and Explanatory Memorandum	11	
2.8.	Ecology and Nature Conservation	12	
2.9.	Forecasting and Need	<u>16</u> 15	
2.10	. Geology and Ground Conditions	<u>17</u> 16	
2.11	. Greenhouse Gases	<u>18</u> 17	
2.12	. Health and Wellbeing	<u>19</u> 18	
2.13	. Historic Environment	<u>20</u> 19	
2.14	. Landscape, Townscape and Visual	<u>21</u> 20	
2.15	. Major Accidents and Disasters	<u>24</u> 22	
2.16	. Noise and Vibration	<u>25</u> 23	
2.17	. Planning and Policy	<u>26</u> 24	
2.18	. Project Elements and Approach to Mitigation	<u>27</u> 25	
2.19	. Socio-Economics and Economics	<u>28</u> 26	
2.20	. Traffic and Transport	<u>29</u> 27	
2.21	. Waste and Materials	<u>30</u> 28	
2.22	. Water Environment	<u>31</u> 29	
3 S	ignatures	<u>32</u> 30	
Apper	ndix 1: Record of Engagement Undertaken	<u>33</u> 31	

1



## 1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared in support of the examination phase for the proposed Gatwick Northern Runway Project (NRP). The Application was made by Gatwick Airport Limited (the Applicant) to the Secretary of State for the Department for Transport (the Secretary of State) pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 (PA 2008).
- 1.1.2 The Application comprises alterations to the existing northern runway which, together with the lifting of the current restrictions on its use, would enable dual runway operations. It also includes the development of a range of infrastructure and facilities which, with the alterations to the northern runway, would enable an increase in the airport's passenger throughput capacity. This includes substantial upgrade works to certain surface access routes which lead to the airport. A full description of the Proposed Development is included in ES Chapter 5: Project Description (Doc Ref. 5.1).
- 1.1.3 SoCGs are an established means in the planning process of allowing all parties to identify and focus on specific issues that may need to be considered during the Examination. The purpose and possible content of SoCG is detailed in the Department for Communities and Local Government's guidance entitled 'Planning Act 2008: examination of applications for development consent' (2015), stating:

"A statement of common ground is a written statement prepared jointly by the applicant and another party or parties, setting out any matters on which they agree. As well as identifying matters which are not in real dispute, it is also useful if a statement identifies those areas where agreement has not been reached. The statement should include references to show where those matters are dealt with in the written representations or other documentary evidence."

- 1.1.4 The SoCGs between the Applicant and the local authorities comprises several documents, to which this document is one. The Statement of Commonality provides details of the structure and status of the SoCG between all the relevant Interested Parties, including the local authorities. Naturally, the level of detail across the suite of SoCG varies to reflect the nature and complexity of the matter, as well as the position between the parties.
- 1.1.5 This document solely relates to matters between the Applicant and Natural England. A summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between the parties is detailed in Appendix 1 of this document.
- 1.1.6 The engagement between the parties across the breadth of matters is ongoing. Therefore, the SoCG is an evolving document and the detailed wording within it is still being discussed in detail between the parties. Future iterations will be submitted at each deadline; and both parties reserve the right to supplement the matters identified discussions progress, to ensure it is comprehensive and up to date.
- 1.1.7 This SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority (ExA) where agreement has been reached between the parties, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached, and is presented in a tabular form. This SoCG does not seek to replicate information that is available elsewhere, either within the Application and/or Examination documents, referring out where



appropriate. The terminology used within the SoCG to reflect the status between the parties is either:

- "Agreed" to indicate where a matter has been resolved to the satisfaction of the parties.
- "Not Agreed" to indicate a final position where parties cannot agree.
- "Under discussion" to indicate where matters are subject of on-going discussion with the aim to either resolve or refine the extent of disagreement between the parties.
- 1.1.8 It can be assumed that any matters not specifically referred to in Section 2 of this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance to Natural England; and therefore, have not been the subject of any discussions between the parties. As such, those matters should be assumed to be agreed, unless otherwise raised in due course by any of the parties.



#### **Current Position** 2

#### 2.1. Agricultural Land Use and Recreation

#### 2.1.1 Table 2.1 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.1 Statement of Common Ground Matters

R	eference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
7	There are no issues relating to Agricultural Land Use and Recreation within this Statement of Common Ground.					



#### Air Quality 2.2.

Table 2.2 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters. 2.2.1

## Table 2.2 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no	There are no issues relating to Air Quality within this Statement of Common Ground.				



#### 2.3. Capacity and Operations

2.3.1 Table 2.3 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.3 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no issues relating to Capacity and Operations within this Statement of Common Ground.					



#### Climate Change 2.4.

2.4.1 Table 2.4 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.4 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no issues relating to Climate Change within this Statement of Common Ground.					



#### 2.5. Construction

2.5.1 Table 2.5 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.5 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no issues relating to Construction within this Statement of Common Ground.					



#### Cumulative Effects and Interrelationships 2.6.

 
 Table 2.6 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.
 2.6.1

## Table 2.6 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Re	ference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
Th	There are no issues relating to Cumulative Effects and Interrelationships within this Statement of Common Ground.		ffects and Interrelationships within this Statement of Common Ground.		<u> </u>	·



#### Draft DCO and Explanatory Memorandum 2.7.

 
 Table 2.7 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.
 2.7.1

## Table 2.7 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	e Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are	There are no issues relating to the Draft DCO and Explanatory Memorandum within this Statement of Common Ground.				



#### 2.8. Ecology and Nature Conservation

Table 2.8 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters. 2.8.1

## Table 2.8 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position
Baseline	•		
There are no is	ssues relating to the baseline for thi	is topic within this Statement of Common Ground.	
Assessment	Methodology		
2.8.2.1	Nationally designated sites	We are concerned that air quality impacts to nationally designated sites have only taken into account NOx and nitrogen deposition. Despite ammonia (NH3) being included within the air quality assessment for internationally designated sites, there has been no assessment made for SSSI's. We require NH3 to be included to enable us to make a robust assessment of impacts. <b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5) –</b> We received the updated survey work on 9 May 2024 and met with GAL/their consultants on 23/05/2024 to discuss. Due to unforeseen circumstances, we are unable to confirm whether this updated information resolves our concerns regarding Westerham Wood SSSI at this time. We will provide our comments on this at Deadline 6.	Additional assessment of the impacts to these SSSIs is on-going and will be provided once completed. <b>Updated Position (May 2024) –</b> Details of assessment provided to Natural England. Following meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> March 2024 between NE and GAL/their consultants, NE agreed with the conclusions of the assessment that there were no significant effects on all sites other than Westerham Wood SSSI where they requested further bryophyte surveys be undertaken to determine whether this interes feature was present with the impact risk zone adjacent to the M25 The survey work was completed and the assessment of results was provided to NE on 9 May 2024.
2.8.2.2	Nationally designated sites	Natural England recommends that Titsey Wood, Westerham Wood and         Mole Gap to Reigate Escarpment SSSI's are given further consideration in         relation to potential air quality impacts, stating clearly the exceedances for         NOx, nitrogen deposition and NH3 and a discussion of the likelihood of         impacts on the sites. If mitigation is required to negate significant impacts         then this should also be proposed.         NE Updated Position (Deadline 5) – We received the updated survey         work on 9 May 2024 and met with GAL/their consultants on 23/05/2024 to         discuss. Due to unforeseen circumstances, we are unable to confirm         whether this updated information resolves our concerns regarding         Westerham Wood SSSI at this time. We will provide our comments on this         at Deadline 6.	Additional assessment of the impacts to these SSSIs is on-going and will be provided once completed. Updated Position (May 2024) – Details of assessment provided to Natural England. Following meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> March 2024 between NE and GAL/their consultants, NE agreed with the conclusions of the assessment that there were no significant effects on all sites other than Westerham Wood SSSI where they requested further bryophyte surveys be undertaken to determine whether this intere feature was present with the impact risk zone adjacent to the M25 The survey work was completed and the assessment of results was provided to NE on 9 May 2024.
Assessment		1	
2.8.3.1	Internationally designated sites	Natural England is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to result in significant effects on The Mens Special Area of Conservation (SAC), Ebernoe Common SAC and Mole Gap to Reigate Escarpment SAC, due to it being unlikely that the project and designated sites are functionally linked given both the distance between them and the core	Noted.

	Signposting	Status
		Under
		discussion
to		
<u>1</u> <u>f</u>		
<u>f</u>		
<u>est</u>		
<u>5.</u>		
vas		
		Under discussion
		01300331011
to		
<u>1</u> <u>f</u>		
<u>f</u>		
<u>est</u>		
<u>5.</u>		
vas		
		Agreed



		foraging area of bat interest features (12km). In addition, impacts to air	
		quality are unlikely given the proximity of The Mens SAC and Ebernoe	
		Common SAC to affected roads and the redistribution of traffic away from	
		Mole Gap to Reigate Escarpment SAC.	
2.8.3.2	Internationally designated	Natural England agrees that Thames Basin Heaths Special Protection	Noted.
	sites	Area (SPA) (Ockham and Wisley Common SSSI), Ashdown Forest	
		SAC/SPA, Thames Basin Heaths SPA (Chobham Common SSSI) and	
		Thursley, Ash, Pirbright and Chobham SAC should be taken forward to	
		Appropriate Assessment on air quality grounds, but that an adverse effect	
		on the integrity of all sites can be ruled out.	
2.8.3.3	Internationally designated	There are no other likely impact pathways to notified features of the above	Noted.
	sites	sites resulting from the project.	
2.8.3.4	Nationally designated sites	There is currently not enough information provided within the submitted	Additional assessment of the impacts to these SSSIs is on-going
		documents for Natural England to assess potential impacts upon Sites of	and will be provided once completed.
		Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) from traffic related air quality. Three sites	
		(Titsey Woods SSSI, Westerham Woods SSSI and Mole Gap to Reigate	Updated Position (May 2024) – Details of assessment provided to
		Escarpment SSSI) show an increase in NOx and nitrogen deposition that	Natural England. Following meeting on 26th March 2024 between
		is >1% of the critical load/level, however no assessment of potential	NE and GAL/their consultants, NE agreed with the conclusions of
		impacts to these sites has been made. In addition, impacts upon SSSI's	the assessment that there were no significant effects on all sites
		as a result of changes to atmospheric ammonia levels have not been	other than Westerham Wood SSSI where they requested further
		considered. Both of these have been requested by Natural England during	bryophyte surveys be undertaken to determine whether this interest
		pre-application engagement and we are awaiting further information.	feature was present with the impact risk zone adjacent to the M25.
			The survey work was completed and the assessment of results wa
		<b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5) –</b> We received the updated survey	provided to NE on 9 May 2024.
		work on 9 May 2024 and met with GAL/their consultants on 23/05/2024 to	
		discuss. Due to unforeseen circumstances, we are unable to confirm	
		whether this updated information resolves our concerns regarding	
		Westerham Wood SSSI at this time. We will provide our comments on this	
		at Deadline 6.	
2.8.3.5	Nationally designated sites	It is our opinion that the statement provided in paragraph 9.9.383	Additional assessment of the impacts to these SSSIs is on-going
		(document ref. APP-034) advising that 'no impacts to statutory designated	and will be provided once completed.
		sites within 5km of the Proposed Development are anticipated with all	
		emissions levels below the screening thresholds' is misleading, as it infers	Updated Position (May 2024) - Details of assessment provided to
		that there is no potential impact to any designated sites as a result of air	Natural England. Following meeting on 26th March 2024 between
		quality emissions. As advised in paragraph 9.9.386 (APP-034) there is an	NE and GAL/their consultants, NE agreed with the conclusions of
		increase in nitrogen deposition at four modelled locations including Titsey	the assessment that there were no significant effects on all sites
		Wood SSSI and Westerham Wood SSSI that is >1% greater than the	other than Westerham Wood SSSI where they requested further
		critical load, demonstrating a potential impact to these sites.	bryophyte surveys be undertaken to determine whether this interest
			feature was present with the impact risk zone adjacent to the M25.
		NE Updated Position (Deadline 5) - We received the updated survey	The survey work was completed and the assessment of results wa
		work on 9 May 2024 and met with GAL/their consultants on 23/05/2024 to	provided to NE on 9 May 2024.
		discuss. Due to unforeseen circumstances, we are unable to confirm	
		whether this updated information resolves our concerns regarding	
		Westerham Wood SSSI at this time. We will provide our comments on this	
		at Deadline 6.	

	Agreed
	Agreed
ng ed to	Under discussion
<u>en</u> of s er erest	
<u>125.</u> was	
	Linder
ng	Under discussion
ed to en of s	
erest 1 <u>25.</u> was	



2.8.3.6	Nationally designated sites	We would also like to highlight that the data presented in Table 2.5.	Additional assessment of the impacts to these SSSIs is on-going
		(document ref. APP-167) shows that there will be an increase in NOx of	and will be provided once completed.
		>1% of the critical level at both Titsey Wood SSSI and Westerham Wood	
		SSSI (Eco_217 and Eco_218) in addition to nitrogen deposition. From this	Updated Position (May 2024) – Details of assessment provided
		data it appears that there will also be a increase in NOx of >1% of the	Natural England. Following meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> March 2024 between
		critical level and in nitrogen deposition of >1% of the critical load for Mole	NE and GAL/their consultants, NE agreed with the conclusions of
		Gap to Reigate Escarpment SSSI (Eco_263). Impacts as a result of these	the assessment that there were no significant effects on all sites
		increases have not been assessed or discussed within the Ecology and	other than Westerham Wood SSSI where they requested further
		Nature Conservation chapter, therefore we are currently unable to	bryophyte surveys be undertaken to determine whether this intere
		adequately determine the Projects impact upon nationally designated	feature was present with the impact risk zone adjacent to the M25
		sites.	The survey work was completed and the assessment of results was
			provided to NE on 9 May 2024.
		<b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5) –</b> We received the updated survey	
		work on 9 May 2024 and met with GAL/their consultants on 23/05/2024 to	
		discuss. Due to unforeseen circumstances, we are unable to confirm	
		whether this updated information resolves our concerns regarding	
		Westerham Wood SSSI at this time. We will provide our comments on this	
		at Deadline 6.	
2.8.3.7	Nationally designated sites	We disagree with the conclusion provided in paragraph 9.9.387 (APP-034)	Additional assessment of the impacts to these SSSIs is on-going
		that 'the contributions from the Project at these four sites is considered to	and will be provided once completed.
		be of negligible magnitude on a receptor of National importance which is	
		of minor adverse significance', as this is based upon a comparison of the	Updated Position (May 2024) – Details of assessment provided
		increase against the predicted background. It is our advice that increases	Natural England. Following meeting on 26th March 2024 between
		in NOx, nitrogen deposition and NH3 should be measured against the	NE and GAL/their consultants, NE agreed with the conclusions of
		critical load/level for that site even if that is already being exceeded, as	the assessment that there were no significant effects on all sites
		further increase could prohibit the site remaining at or returning to	other than Westerham Wood SSSI where they requested further
		favourable condition.	bryophyte surveys be undertaken to determine whether this intere
			feature was present with the impact risk zone adjacent to the M25
		<b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5) –</b> We received the updated survey	The survey work was completed and the assessment of results was
		work on 9 May 2024 and met with GAL/their consultants on 23/05/2024 to	provided to NE on 9 May 2024.
		discuss. Due to unforeseen circumstances, we are unable to confirm	provided to the off 3 may 2024.
		whether this updated information resolves our concerns regarding	
		Westerham Wood SSSI at this time. We will provide our comments on this	
		at Deadline 6.	
2.8.3.8	Protected species	We are satisfied that the bat colonies identified within the airports vicinity	Noted.
		are not functionally linked to any of the nearby European designated sites,	
		as discussed above. We therefore direct you to our standing advice for	
		protected species to assist you in your decision	
Mitigation a	and Compensation		
2.8.4.1	Biodiversity net gain	We welcome the commitment to delivering BNG on this project and	Noted.
		support the proposal going beyond the mandatory 10% net gain required	
		by national planning policy and the policy proposed within Crawley	
		Borough Council Local Plan draft submission document. We recommend	

	Under
	discussion
<u>to</u>	
<u>1</u>	
<u>)</u> /f	
-	
est	
<u>5.</u>	
vae	
vas	
	Under
l	
	discussion
l to	
<u>l to</u> <u>1</u> /f	
<u>f</u>	
oct	
<u>est</u>	
<u>5.</u>	
vas	
	Agreed
	Agreeu
	Agreed



		watercourse units is secured by a suitably worded requirement in the DCO.	
2.8.4.2	Biodiversity net gain	We acknowledge that the biodiversity baseline provided is based upon all	Noted.
2.0.4.2	biodiversity het gain	land within the development's order limit (735ha), however the net gain	noteu.
		shown within the metric is based only upon land impacted during the	
		project (230.09ha). This was agreed with GAL at the pre-application stage	
		due to the constraints associated with providing a 10% gain on the full	
		site, particularly when comparing it to the size of the site actually lost. This	
		is in line with Luton Rising's BNG proposal for the London Luton Airport	
		Expansion project.	
2.8.4.3	Biodiversity net gain	The inability of the BNG proposal to meet habitat trading rules, rules that	Noted.
		are established to ensure losses are compensated for through creating or	
		enhancing habitats on a 'like-for-like' basis, was also discussed at pre-	
		application stage. We consider the rationale given by GAL for not meeting	
		the trading rules to be satisfactory, given that it is in the interest of aircraft	
		and public safety that new areas of woodland should not be planted within	
		the order limit.	
Other			
2.8.5.1	Protected species	Natural England is still awaiting submission of draft protected species	Draft licences are being produced and will be provided to Natural
		licence applications for review. Without draft protected species licence	England once completed.
		applications we are unable to issue Letters of No Impediment, which we	Updated Position (May 2024) –
		understand may be required by GAL for Badgers. We will work with GAL	
		and our wildlife licensing team to ensure that we have the required	A meeting has been held on 16 May with the NE Natural England
		information to obtain these when needed.	Wildlife Licensing Service to brief them on the project.
			Licenses for GCN and badger licences are the only currently
			considered necessary, based on survey results to date.
			A draft licence for badgers and has been provided to NEDraft
			GCN licence subject to on-going survey work and discussions wit
			NE regarding inclusion of findings of updated surveys. Surveys to
			be complete by mid May with draft licence sent to NE by Deadline
			<u>5.</u>
			1

	Agreed
	Agreed
I	Under discussion
<u>d</u>	
<u>ith</u> 0 1 <u>e</u>	



#### Forecasting and Need 2.9.

2.9.1 Table 2.9 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.9 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no i	issues relating to Forecasting a	nd Need within this Statement of Common Ground.			



#### Geology and Ground Conditions 2.10.

Table 2.10 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters. 2.10.1

## Table 2.10 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no is	ssues relating to Geology and	Ground Conditions within this Statement of Common Ground.			



#### 2.11. **Greenhouse Gases**

Table 2.11 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters. 2.11.1

## Table 2.11 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no is	ssues relating to Greenhouse (	Gases within this Statement of Common Ground.			



#### Health and Wellbeing 2.12.

2.12.1 Table 2.12 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.12 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no i	issues relating to Health and W	ellbeing within this Statement of Common Ground.	•		



#### 2.13. Historic Environment

2.13.1 Table 2.13 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.13 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no is	ssues relating to Historic Enviro	onment in this Statement of Common Ground.		·	·



#### Landscape, Townscape and Visual 2.14.

 
 Table 2.14 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.
 2.14.1

## Table 2.14 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
Baseline	1			1	
There are no	issues relating to the baselin	e for this topic within this Statement of Common Ground.			
Assessment	methodology				
2.14.2.1	Nationally designated	We welcome the use of the CPRE tranquillity report in the assessment of	Various communications have taken place with the High Weald	ES Appendix 8.4.1:	Under
	landscapes	tranquillity upon nationally designated landscapes and are broadly	AONB regarding the methodology and outcomes of the tranquillity	Landscape,	discussion
		satisfied with the methodology used. However we would encourage the	assessment. We have been in contact with the High Weald National	Townscape and	
		examining authority to engage with the High Weald AONB Unit in relation	Landscape Unit advising them of the Natural England Relevant	Visual Impact	
		to the methodology, as we note that this landscape has the greatest	Representation, and they have indicated that they do not wish to	Methodology [APP-	
		potential for impacts to tranquillity given the increase in flight numbers as	engage further with us on the Project.	109]	
		a result of the project.			
			The Applicant is happy to discuss these issues further during the		
		<b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5)</b> – Due to unforeseen circumstances,	TWG's and provide further information required as part of the SoCG		
		NE is unable to confirm whether the tranquillity issues can be agreed and	process.		
		closed. We will confirm this by Deadline 6.			
			Updated Position (April 2024) – Details of methodology provided		
			to Natural England during presentation/ meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> March		
			2024 between NE and GAL/their consultants. NE agreed with the		
			approach to the assessment of effects on the perception of		
			tranquillity within ES Appendix 8.4.1: Landscape, Townscape		
			and Visual Impact Methodology [APP-109] and the use of CAA		
			CAP1616 Airspace Change and CAP1498 Definition of Overflight is		
			appropriate to inform the methodology.		
2.14.2.2	Nationally designated	Natural England will continue our discussions with GAL around potential	Various communications have taken place with the High Weald	ES Appendix 8.4.1:	Under
	landscapes	impacts on the tranquillity of the High Weald AONB to ensure that a robust	AONB regarding the methodology and outcomes of the tranquillity	Landscape,	discussion
		assessment of noise has been made and that a worst case scenario with	assessment. We have been in contact with the High Weald National	Townscape and	
		regard to a quieter aircraft fleet has been used.	Landscape Unit advising them of the Natural England Relevant	Visual Impact	
			Representation, and they have indicated that they do not wish to	Methodology [APP-	
		<b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5)</b> – Due to unforeseen circumstances,	engage further with us on the Project.	109]	
		NE is unable to confirm whether the tranquillity issues can be agreed and			
		closed. We will confirm this by Deadline 6.	The Applicant is happy to discuss these issues further during the		
			TWG's and provide further information required as part of the SoCG		
			process.		
			Updated Position (April 2024) – Details of methodology provided		
			to Natural England during presentation/ meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> March		
			2024 between NE and GAL/their consultants. NE agreed with the		



			tranquillity within ES Appendix 8.4.1: Landscape, Townscape		
			and Visual Impact Methodology [APP-109].		
2.14.2.3	Nationally designated	It is implied in the Landscape, Townscape and Visual Resources chapter	The CAA's CAP1616 Airspace Change Guidance provides a	ES Chapter 8	Under
	landscapes	(document ref. APP-033) that tranquillity has been assessed in	methodology to assess effects on the perception of tranquillity	Landscape,	discussion
		conjunction with information provided within the Noise and Vibration	where the proposed airspace change may increase the number of	Townscape and	
		chapter (document ref. APP-039), however Gatwick Airport Limited (GAL)	overflights of nationally designated landscapes.	Visual [APP-033]	
		have made Natural England aware that tranquillity impacts have instead	The definition of an "overflight" uses the CAA CAP1498 criterion as	<b>F</b> ' 0.004 0.07	
		been assessed on the increase in overflights as a result of the project	explained in ES Appendix 14.9.2: Air Noise Modelling.	Figures 8.6.3 to 8.6.7	
		(20% increase) <u>.</u>		of ES Chapter 8	
			The tranquillity assessment has been informed by data presented	Landscape,	
		<b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5) –</b> Due to unforeseen circumstances,	within ES Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration – please see	Townscape and	
		NE is unable to confirm whether the tranquillity issues can be agreed and	commentary to issue 9.35 below.	Visual Figures – Part	
		closed. We will confirm this by Deadline 6.		1 and Part 2 [APP-060	
			The numbers of overflights have been calculated and mapped for	and APP-061]	
			the 2019 baseline, and then for a 2032 future baseline and 2032	EQ Annondia 44.0.0	
			with Project, using simplifying assumptions in ES Chapter 14 to	ES Appendix 14.9.2:	
			represent air traffic growth.	Air Noise Modelling	
			Chapter 14 focuses on the 2032 assessment year, as the predicted	[APP-172]	
			changes in air traffic movements (an increase of up to 20%) are	EQ Annondia 0 4 4.	
			likely to be greater than in the opening year of 2029 (See ES Figure	ES Appendix 8.4.1:	
			8.6.6).	Landscape,	
			Undeted Desition (April 2024) Details of methodology provided	Townscape and	
			Updated Position (April 2024) – Details of methodology provided to Natural England during presentation/ meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> March	Visual Impact Methodology [APP-	
			2024 between NE and GAL/their consultants. NE agreed with the		
			approach to the assessment of effects on the perception of	<u>109]</u>	
			tranquillity within ES Appendix 8.4.1: Landscape, Townscape	ES Chapter 14: Noise	
			and Visual Impact Methodology [APP-109] and the use of	and Vibration [APP-	
			overflight numbers data to inform the assessment and not noise	039]	
			increase data contained within ES Chapter 14: Noise and	0391	
			Vibration [APP-039].		
2.14.2.4	Nationally designated	We intend to continue discussions with GAL to determine whether aspects	The Landscape Assessment has had regard for the noise	Environmental	Under
*	landscapes	of the noise assessment can be incorporated into the assessment of	assessments, together with overflight plans, in coming to its	Statement - Noise	discussion
		tranquillity impacts to High Weald AONB, utilising a worse case example	conclusions.	and Vibration	
		of future aircraft fleet and comparing this with the current noise baseline.		Figures - Part 2 [APP-	
			The noise assessment presents a range of predicted noise effects	064]	
		<b>NE Updated Position (Deadline 5)</b> – Due to unforeseen circumstances,	and reports the information in the form of noise contours and spot		
		NE is unable to confirm whether the tranquillity issues can be agreed and	noise levels at representative community locations. The slower fleet	ES Appendix 8.4.1:	
		closed. We will confirm this by Deadline 6.	transition case shows the upper range of these (ie the worst case).	Landscape,	
				Townscape and	



			There are two limited areas where the noise contours overlap AONBs. These are the N65 20 (daytime) and N60 10 (night time) contours in the vicinity of Penshurst/Hever in the High Weald AONB to the east and just outside Horsham in the western tip of the High Weald AONB. Additionally, Chapter 14 has a discussion in Para 14.9.155 on noise changes in Chiddingstone (just east of Hever) as a Representative Community Location.	Visual ImpactMethodology [APP-109]ES Chapter 14: Noiseand Vibration [APP-039]
			We would very much welcome further discussion with Natural England regarding how this information can be understood in the context of the assessment in ES Chapter 8 LTVIA.	
			Various communications have taken place with the High Weald AONB regarding the methodology and outcomes of the tranquillity assessment. The Applicant is happy to discuss these issues further during the TWG's and provide further information required as part of the SoCG process.	
			Updated Position (April 2024) – Details of methodology provided to Natural England during presentation/ meeting on 26 <sup>th</sup> March 2024 between NE and GAL/their consultants. NE agreed with the approach to the assessment of effects on the perception of tranquility within ES Appendix 8.4.1: Landscape, Townscape and Visual Impact Methodology [APP-109] and the use of overflight numbers data to inform the assessment and not noise increase data contained within ES Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-039].	
Assessment				
2.14.3.1	Nationally designated landscapes	We agree that the increase in overflights in the Kent Downs AONB, Surrey Hills AONB and South Downs National Park is negligible in terms of magnitude, resulting in a minor adverse impact upon the designated landscapes, and will not require mitigating.	The Applicant notes Natural England's agreement that the increase in overflights in the Kent Downs AONB, Surrey Hills AONB and South Downs National Park is negligible in terms of magnitude, resulting in a minor adverse impact upon the designated landscapes, and will not require mitigating.	Agreed
Mitigation an	d Compensation			
	coupe relating to mitigation on	a companyation for this tonic within this Statement of Common Liveund		
	ssues relating to mitigation an	nd compensation for this topic within this Statement of Common Ground.		



#### Major Accidents and Disasters 2.15.

 
 Table 2.15 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.
 2.15.1

## Table 2.15 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status	
There are no is	There are no issues relating to Major Accidents and Disasters within this Statement of Common Ground.					



#### 2.16. Noise and Vibration

2.16.1 Table 2.16 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.16 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no issues relating to Noise and Vibration within this Statement of Common Ground.					



#### 2.17. Planning and Policy

2.17.1 Table 2.17 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.17 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no i	There are no issues relating to Planning and Policy within this Statement of Common Ground.				



#### Project Elements and Approach to Mitigation 2.18.

2.18.1 Table 2.18 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.18 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no is	There are no issues relating to Project Elements and Approach to Mitigation within this Statement of Common Ground.				



#### 2.19. Socio-Economics and Economics

Table 2.19 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters. 2.19.1

## Table 2.19 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no issues relating to Socio-Economics and Economics within this Statement of Common Ground.					



#### Traffic and Transport 2.20.

 
 Table 2.21 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.
 2.20.1

## Table 2.20 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no is	There are no issues relating to Traffic and Transport within this Statement of Common Ground.				



#### 2.21. Waste and Materials

2.21.1 Table 2.21 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.21 Statement of Common Ground Matters

Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are no i	There are no issues relating to Waste and Materials in this Statement of Common Ground.				



#### 2.22. Water Environment

2.22.1 Table 2.22 sets out the position of both parties in relation to matters.

## Table 2.22 Statement of Common Ground Matters

		-	-		<u> </u>
Reference	Matter	Stakeholder Position	Gatwick Airport Limited Position	Signposting	Status
There are n	There are no issues relating to Water Environment within this Statement of Common Ground.				



## 3 Signatures

3.1.1 The above SoCG is agreed between the following:

Duly authorised for and on behalf of Gatwick Airport Limited, The	Name
Applicant	Job Title
	Date
	Signature
D. I the mineral face and an inclusion of the	
Duly authorised for and on behalf of	Name
Natural England	
Natural England	Job Title
Natural England	Job Title Date
Natural England	
Natural England	



## Appendix 1: Record of Engagement Undertaken

Date	Form of Contact (meeting or correspondence)	Overview of the Matters Discussed and Key Outcomes
24 May 2021	Meeting	Project update following restart post Covid 19 pandemic. Discussion regarding baseline data gathering and methodology for HRA, and the landscape, townscape and visual impact assessment (LTVIA; including tranquillity assessment).
17 June 2021	Meeting	Further presentation on LTVIA and tranquillity methodologies.
3 May 2023	Meeting	Project update and discussion on HRA methodology.
5 June 2023	Meeting	Discussion on progress with HRA assessment.
29 June 2023	Meeting	Discussion on progress with HRA assessment and SoCG matters.
20 September 2023	Meeting	Review of ecological assessment within the DCO and proposed mitigation and compensation. Review of LTVIA assessment.
26 March 2024	Meeting	Meeting to discuss Air Quality effects to SSSIs and progress with SoCG.
<u>16 May 2024</u>	Meeting	Briefing meeting to discuss ghost licenses with Natural England Wildlife Licensing Service